

February 5, 1976

PRESIDENT: Discussion? All those in favor say aye, contrary say nay. They are adopted.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President, I move that LB 635 be advanced to E & R for engrossing.

PRESIDENT: Discussion? All those in favor say aye, contrary say nay. The bill is advanced.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President, I move the adoption of the E & R amendments to LB 413.

PRESIDENT: Discussion? All in favor say aye, contrary say nay. They are adopted.

SENATOR DWORAK: Mr. President, I move that LB 413 be advanced to E & R for engrossing.

PRESIDENT: Discussion? All in favor say aye, contrary say nay. The bill is advanced. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Now, Mr. President, on LB 202 the E & R amendments were adopted on January 28th. The Warner amendments have been adopted. A motion to kill was lost. Senator Stull has .... Mr. President, there was a request to indefinitely postpone the bill by Senator Stull made on January 28th. Then since that time he has filed a request to withdraw that motion to indefinitely postpone.

SENATOR STULL: Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I would like to go ahead and pursue my amendment to indefinitely postpone at this time. The motion is to indefinitely postpone LB 202. I think, due to the amendments that we adopted, it would be the best thing to do with it at this time. This bill is the one that dealt with relieving the counties of part of their responsibility and the payment of medicare costs. This bill was debated last year. It's been debated at least on two occasions this year. I think that most of you have your minds made up now whether you want it indefinitely postponed or not.

I would urge you to vote for the motion to indefinitely postpone. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Any further discussion? The question is shall the bill be indefinitely postponed. Senator Schmit, I'm sorry.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I'd like to ask some questions of Senator Stull, if he would please.

SENATOR STULL: Yes Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Senator Stull, I know that you ... at least I was sure you agreed with myself and a number of other members of the body that the county should not be required to carry the burden of the welfare cost anymore since they have no voice whatsoever in how the money is to be handled, or who they must cover or anything else. Do you really feel that the problem is going to be solved by striking the bill at this time? Don't you think perhaps we should try to remove the Warner amendment and proceed in another manner?